IN THE HIGH COURT OF FIJI AT SUVA

CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL CASE NO. HAC 251 OF 2013S

STATE

٧S

- 1. JOSEFA SAQANAVERE
- 2. TUIMOALA RAOGO
- 3. SAVENACA BATIBAWA

Counsels

Ms. J. Prasad and Ms. A. Khan for State

Mr. A. Vakaloloma and Mr. N. Bulisea for All Accused

Hearings

23 to 26 February, 29 February, 1 to 4 March, 7 to 11 March,

14 March, 2016

Summing Up

15 March, 2016

Judgment

15 March, 2016

JUDGMENT

- The three assessors had returned with unanimous guilty verdict against all accuseds on the counts they faced. They found Accused No. 1 and 2 guilty as charged on count no. 1 and 2.
 They also found Accused No. 1 and 3 guilty as charged on count no. 3, 4 and 5.
- 2. Obviously, the three assessors had accepted the prosecution's version of events and had found the accuseds guilty as charged on the counts that applied to them.
- 3. I have reviewed the evidence called in the trial and I have directed myself in accordance with the Summing Up I gave the assessors today.

- 4. Assessors are there to assist the trial judge come to a conclusion on the guilt or otherwise of the accuseds. In my view, the assessors' verdict was not perverse. It was open to them to reach such conclusion on the evidence.
- I agree with the assessors' opinion and I accept their verdict. I accept the evidence of all the prosecutions' witnesses. Their evidence was credible. I accept the prosecution's circumstantial evidence against all accuseds.
- 6. I reject the accuseds' denials.
- 7. On the evidence and on the credibility of the prosecution witnesses' evidence, I find all accuseds guilty as charged and I convict them accordingly.
- 8. Assessors thanked and released.

Salesi Temo

Solicitor for the State Solicitor for the Accused

Office of the Director of Public Prosecution, Suva.

A. Vakaloloma, Barrister and Solicitor, Suva.